1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership				
2	Including Professional Corporations TENAYA RODEWALD, Cal Bar No. 248563				
3	trodewald@sheppardmullin.com 1540 El Camino Real Suite 120				
4	Menlo Park, California 94025-4111 Telephone: 650.815.2600				
5	Facsimile: 650.815.2601				
6	KAZIM A. NAQVI, Cal. Bar No. 30043 knaqvi@sheppardmullin.com	8			
7	Los Angeles, California 90067-7017				
8	Los Angeles, California 90067-7017 Telephone: 310.228.3700 Facsimile: 310.228.3701				
9	PARKER POE ADAMS & BERNSTEI				
10	CHRISTOPHER M. THOMAS (admitted pro hac vice) christhomas@parkerpoe.com				
11	christhomas@parkerpoe.com ANDREW P. TABELING (admitted pro hac vice) andytabeling@parkerpoe.com				
	andytabeling@parkerpoe.com 301 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1400 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-0389				
13	Telephone: (919) 835-4626 Facsimile: (919) 834-4564				
14	NICHOLAS H. LEE (admitted pro hac vice)				
15	nicholaslee@parkerpoe.com 620 S. Tryon Street, Suite 800				
16	Charlotte, North Carolina 28202 Telephone: 704-335-9876				
17	Facsimile: 704-334-4706				
	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant AMERICAN				
	INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS				
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
21	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - EASTERN DIVISION				
$\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 32 \end{vmatrix}$	AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF	Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK			
23	CERTIFIED PUBLIC				
24	ACCOUNTANTS,	COUNTER-DEFENDANT AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC			
25	Plaintiff,	ACCOUNTANTS' ANSWER TO COUNTER-CLAIMANT STEPHEN			
26	v. STEPHEN LAU,	LAU'S COUNTERCLAIMS			
27 28	Defendant.				
ا ٥-	Defendant.				

Case	5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK	Document 31 #:248	Filed 01/06/25	Page 2 of 31	Page ID
		11.240			
1	STEPHEN LAU,				
2	Counter-Cl	aimant,			
3	v.				
4	AMERICAN INSTITU	TE OF			
5	CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS				
6	Counter-De	efendant.			
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			2	Cos- NI - 5 24	w. 01040 ggg guiz
	SMRH:4935-6231-1437		-2-		ounterclaims

6

4

8

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

19 20

18

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

- Counterdefendant American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (the "AICPA") hereby responds to the allegations of Counterclaimant Stephen Lau's ("Mr. Lau") Counterclaims [ECF Dkt. No. 28] ("Counterclaims") as follows:
- The AICPA admits that Mr. Lau is an aspiring Certified Public 1. Accountant ("CPA"). The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 2. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 3. The AICPA admits only that it sued Mr. Lau for copyright infringement and trade secret misappropriation, among other claims. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- The AICPA admits only that it has observed Discord and Reddit study group discussions about AICPA-authored exam content for several years. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 5. The AICPA admits only that Mr. Lau is a real person. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims concerning Mr. Lau's family, and therefore denies them. The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
 - Denied. 6.

The Parties¹ 2 7. Admitted. 3 8. Admitted. 4 **Jurisdiction and Venue** 5 9. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, 6 7 the AICPA admits that this Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction over the 8 claims in this lawsuit and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the 9 Counterclaims. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal 10 10. 11 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA admits only that this Court is the proper venue because a substantial part 12 13 of the events giving rise to the AICPA's claims occurred in this judicial district and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims. 14 15 Mr. Lau's Endeavor to Become a Licensed CPA 16 11. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them. 17 18 12. Denied. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 19 13. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them. 20 The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 21 14. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them. 22 23 24 25 ¹ For ease of reference, the AICPA adopts in this Answer certain headings and 26 27

certain of the capitalized terms used by Mr. Lau in his Counterclaims. The use of these headings and terms is not an admission of any of the allegations or characterizations in the Counterclaims, whether express or implied, all of which are denied except as expressly admitted.

-4-

Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK

8

9

5

13 14

15

16

12

17 18

19 20

22

21

23 24

25 26

27 28

- The AICPA admits only that Mr. Lau has passed three sections of the 15. CPA Exam. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA admits only that there have been at least 25 instances in 16. which Mr. Lau sat for a section of the CPA Exam. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA only admits that some of the questions of exams Mr. Lau 17. sat for in the past have since been retired. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 18. allegations of this paragraph concerning Mr. Lau's understanding of the AICPA's allegations and therefore denies them. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 19. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.

Online Study Groups for the CPA Exam

- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 20. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 21. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 22. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 23. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.

-5-

1

5

6

4

7 8

9

- 10 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 22

21

24

- 25 26
- 27

- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 24. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 25. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 26. The AICPA does not understand specifically what Lau means by his assertions in this paragraph and his use of terms, including, among others, "studying communications," and to the extent it understands the allegations, AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations, and therefore denies the allegations. The AICPA specifically denies that it has never taken effective action against violations of its NDA and intellectual property rights of which it is aware.
- 27. The AICPA admits only that it has never previously filed a federal lawsuit regarding posts by others that may have been too specific and were flagged as problematic before being altered and/or removed. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 28. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 29. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 30. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 31. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA admits only that in late November 2023 Mr. Lau made a 32. post in the Reddit study group forum. This "Reddit Post" speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.

- 33. The AICPA admits only that in late November 2023, Mr. Lau made a post in the Reddit study group forum. This "Reddit Post" speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA admits only that in late November 2023, Mr. Lau made a 34. post in the Reddit study group forum. This "Reddit Post" speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA admits only that at all times relevant, it has been aware of 35. California's Anti-SLAPP statute. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
 - 36. Denied.
 - Denied. 37.
 - Denied. 38.
- 39. The AICPA admits only that in early February 2024, Mr. Lau made posts in a Discord study group that are the subjects of this lawsuit. These posts speak for themselves with regard to their contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.

-7-

- 40. Denied.
- 41. Denied.

6

7

4

10

15

13

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

- The AICPA admits only that it did not contact Mr. Lau about his 42. Discord posts. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 43. The AICPA admits only that it did not contact Mr. Lau about his Reddit posts. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 44. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 45. The AICPA admits only that that a DMCA take down notice it submitted was successful in having Mr. Lau's posts removed. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 46. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 47. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 48. The AICPA denies that it did not conduct a good faith fair use analysis of Mr. Lau's posts before sending its DMCA takedown notices related to Mr. Lau's copyright-infringing post. The remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
 - 49. Denied.
 - 50. Denied.

CPA Licensure and Testing in California

The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state a legal 51. conclusion to which no response is required.

AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent

AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent

therewith. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations

The CBA's mission speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the

The CBA's website speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the

1

52.

53.

9

6

13

10

17

21

22

23

26

therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.

54. The CBA's website and infographic speak for themselves with regard to their contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.

55. The AICPA admits only that the National Association of State Boards

of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.

56. The alleged screenshot of NASBA's payment portal for California speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.

denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.

of Accountancy is referred to as "NASBA." The CBA's infographic and NASBA's

websites speak for themselves with regard to their contents, and the AICPA denies

any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA

- 57. The NASBA payment portal speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 58. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.

-9-

Case

5

8

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22

19

23 24

25 26

27

- The NASBA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the 59. AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 60. The NASBA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 61. The NASBA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 62. The NASBA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 63. The NASBA ToS and the NASBA Payment portal speak for themselves with regard to their contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 64. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The NASBA website speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and 65. the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The Prometric website speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and 66. the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent

-10-

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 67. Denied.
- 68. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
 - 69. Denied.
 - 70. Denied.
 - 71. Denied.
 - 72. Denied.
- 73. The Prometric scheduling website speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 74. The webpage available at the URL: "https://www.aicpacima.com/news/download/uniform-cpa-examination-conduct-and-non-disclosure-agreement" speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 75. The PDF document that is downloadable from "https://www.aicpa-cima.com/news/download/uniform-cpa-examination-conductand-non-disclosure-agreement" (AICPA Downloadable NDA) speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA admits that a user does not have to download the PDF to complete the scheduling process. The remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims are denied.
- 76. The webpage available at the URL: "https://www.aicpacima.com/news/download/uniform-cpa-examination-conduct-and-non-disclosure-agreement" speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.

-11-

Case

- 77. The AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 78. The AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 79. Section 2.2 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 80. Section 2.7 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 81. Section 6.1 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 82. Section 6.2 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 83. Section 8.2 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 84. Section 8.5 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.

8

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25 26

27

- Section 9.1 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its 85. contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 86. Section 9.2 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- Section 9.4 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 88. Section 10.3 of the AICPA ToS speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- 89. The AICPA admits only that the Prometric scheduling flow does not require a candidate to actually visit any AICPA URL in order to complete scheduling and that users are directed to the Prometric URL https://proscheduler.prometric.com/home, which provides access to Prometric's "Pro Scheduler" tool. The user interface for the Pro Scheduler tools speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- The Pro Scheduler tool URL speaks for itself with regard to its 90. contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 91. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims concerning Mr. Lau's recollection and therefore denies them. The AICPA denies that Mr. Lau was not required to affirmatively assent to the terms of The Uniform CPA Examination Conduct and

Case

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

12

11

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

- Non-Disclosure Agreement as part of scheduling the CPA Exam sections he took on November 25, 2023 and February 3, 2024.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 92. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 93. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims concerning Mr. Lau's recollection, and therefore denies them. The AICPA denies that the terms of the Uniform CPA Examination Conduct and Non-Disclosure Agreement that Mr. Lau assented to when scheduling "his relevant examinations" are different from the AICPA's downloadable NDA agreement and also from the document attached to the AICPA's Complaint. The AICPA admits only that, as of the date of the filing of Mr. Lau's Counterclaims, The Uniform CPA Examination Conduct and Non-Disclosure Agreement presented during the Prometric scheduling flow contained the statement that: "The Uniform CPA Exam contains trade secrets or otherwise confidential information owned by the AICPA. Access to and use of this information is strictly limited and controlled by the AICPA. The content and nature of the Uniform CPA Exam may not be captured digitally, copied, including by memorization, distributed, or otherwise disclosed, and may not be used in any way not expressly authorized by the AICPA" and referred to "NASBA's CPA Exam Candidate Guide" in place of "the Candidate Bulletin," which is the former name of what is now called "NASBA's CPA Exam Candidate Guide." The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of the paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 94. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims, and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the 95. allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims, and therefore denies them.

The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state a legal 96. 1 2 conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, 3 the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state a legal 4 97. 5 conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims. 6 7 98. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state a legal 8 conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, 9 the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims. 10 AICPA's [alleged] Sham Federal Claims 11 12 99. Denied. 13 100. Denied. Denied. 14 101. 15 102. The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent 16 therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations 17 18 made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims. 19 103. Denied. 20 104. Denied. 21 105. Denied. 22 106. Denied. 23 107. Denied. 24 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 25 (Declaratory Judgement of Non-Infringement of Copyright 26 27 The AICPA incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-107, set forth above, as if fully stated herein. 28

-15-

SMRH:4935-6231-1437

Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

1	109. Admitted.			
2	110. Admitted.			
3	111. Denied.			
4	112. Denied.			
5	113. Denied.			
6	114. Denied.			
7	115. Denied.			
8	116. Denied.			
9	117. Denied.			
10	118. Denied.			
11	119. Denied.			
12	[Allegations that] No Substantial Similarity if Factually or Legally Possible			
13	120. Denied.			
14	121. Denied.			
15	122. Denied.			
16	123. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the			
17	allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims concerning Mr. Lau's			
18	understanding, and therefore denies them.			
19	124. Denied.			
20	125. Denied.			
21	126. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state a legal			
22	conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required,			
23	the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
24	127. Denied.			
25	128. The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents,			
26	and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsisten			
27	therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations			
28	made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

SMRH:4935-6231-1437

- 141. The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
 - 143. Denied.
 - 144. Denied.
 - 145. Denied.
- The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 147. The AICPA denies that it lacks standing to bring its claims. The remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 148. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 149. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.

[Allegations of] Failure to Conduct Fair Use Analysis

150. The AICPA denies that Mr. Lau's infringement is protected by the doctrine of fair use. The remaining allegations in this paragraph of the

Counterclaims state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK

-18-

1	extent a response is required, the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of			
2	the Counterclaims.			
3	151. Denied.			
4	152. The AICPA denies that the purpose and character of Mr. Lau's			
5	infringing posts were academic, critical, scholarly, or generally for purposes of			
6	study. The remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal			
7	conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required,			
8	the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
9	153. Denied.			
10	154. Denied.			
11	155. Denied.			
12	156. Denied.			
13	157. Denied.			
14	158. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal			
15	conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required,			
16	the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
17	159. The allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims state legal			
18	conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required,			
19	the AICPA denies the allegations in this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
20	160. Denied.			
21	161. Denied.			
22	162. Denied.			
23				
24	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
25	(Declaratory Judgement of No Trade Secret Misappropriation			
26	163. The AICPA incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-			
27	162, set forth above, as if fully stated herein.			
28	164. Admitted19- Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK			
	-1 <i>7</i> -			

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

SMRH:4935-6231-1437

1	165. Admitted.			
2	166. Denied.			
3	167. Denied.			
4	168. Denied.			
5	169. Denied.			
6	170. Denied.			
7	171. Denied.			
8	[Allegations of] Sham Pleading Tactics			
9	172. Denied.			
10	173. Denied.			
11	174. The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents,			
12	and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent			
13	therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations			
14	made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
15	175. Denied.			
16	176. The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents,			
17	and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent			
18	therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations			
19	made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
20	177. Denied.			
21	178. Denied on information and belief.			
22	[Allegations of] No Secrecy			
23	179. Denied.			
24	180. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the			
25	allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.			
26	181. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the			
27	allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.			
- 1				

- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- 185. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
- The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.
 - 187. Denied.
 - Denied. 188.
 - 189. Denied.
- The referenced BEC Exam Blueprint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 191. The referenced BEC Exam Blueprint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 192. The referenced Exam Blueprint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 193. The referenced Exam Blueprint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization

-21-

26

	_
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7

inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.

- 194. The referenced Exam Blueprint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 195. The referenced BEC Exam Blueprint speaks for itself with regard to its contents, and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
- 1 | 196. Admitted.
- 2 | 197. Denied.
- 3 | 198. Admitted.
- $4 \parallel 199$. Admitted.
- 5 200. Admitted.
- $6 \parallel 201$. Admitted.
- 7 | 202. Admitted.
- 18 | 203. Admitted.
- 19 | 204. Admitted.
- 20 | 205. Admitted.
- 21 || 206. Admitted.
 - 207. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims concerning what Mr. Lau believes and therefore denies them. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims.
 - 208. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims concerning what Mr. Lau believes

22

23

24

25

26

1	and therefore denies them. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this			
2	paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
3	209. Denied.			
4	[Allegations of] No Value Because of Secrecy			
5	210. Denied.			
6	211. The AICPA admits that it licenses and monetizes retired exam content			
7	to assist in Exam preparation.			
8	212. Denied.			
9	213. Denied.			
10	214. The referenced info graphic speaks for itself with regard to its contents,			
11	and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent			
12	therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations			
13	made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
14	215. Denied.			
15	216. Denied.			
16	217. The AICPA's complaint speaks for itself with regard to its contents,			
17	and the AICPA denies any interpretation, meaning, or characterization inconsistent			
18	therewith. Except as expressly admitted, the AICPA denies any other allegations			
19	made or implied by this paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
20	218. The AICPA admits only that there have been at least 25 instances in			
21	which Mr. Lau sat for a section of the CPA Exam. The AICPA lacks sufficient			
22	information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the			
23	Counterclaims and therefore denies them.			
24	219. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the			
25	allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them.			
26	220. The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the			
27	allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims and therefore denies them. The			
28	AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims. -23- Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK			

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

SMRH:4935-6231-1437

1	221	Danied		
1		Denied.		
2		Denied.		
3	[Allegation	s of] No Value Because of Secrecy		
4	223.	The AICPA admits only that Mr. Lau acquired the AICPA's Trade		
5	Secrets subj	ect to The Uniform CPA Examination Conduct and Non-Disclosure		
6	Agreement. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph of the			
7	Counterclai	ms.		
8	224.	Denied.		
9	225.	The AICPA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the		
10	allegations of this paragraph of the Counterclaims concerning what Mr. Lau believes			
11	and therefore denies them. The AICPA denies the remaining allegations of this			
12	paragraph of the Counterclaims.			
13				
14		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
15		(Declaratory Judgement of No Breach or Damages		
16	226.	The AICPA incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-		
17	225, set forth above, as if fully stated herein.			
18	227.	Admitted.		
19	228.	Admitted.		
20	229.	Denied.		
21	230.	Denied.		
22	231.	Denied.		
23	232.	Denied.		
24	233.	Denied.		
25	234.	Denied.		
26	235.	Denied.		
27	236.	Denied.		
28				

Case !	:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK	Document 31	Filed 01/06/25	Page 26 of 31	Page ID
		#:272			

253. Denied.
 254. Denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The AICPA denies that Mr. Lau is entitled to any relief.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As separate and distinct affirmative defenses to the Counterclaimant's allegations, the AICPA alleges as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Sham Pleading)

The Counterclaims are barred in whole or in part, because they constitute a sham pleading that seeks adjudication of the same legal principles underlying the Complaint and Mr. Lau's asserted defenses. Because the Counterclaims merely reassert issues that are already before the Court on the AICPA's Complaint, the Counterclaims are subject to dismissal because giving a defendant control over a plaintiff's suit enables the defendant to resist any attempt on the part of the plaintiff to dismiss such suit, and to compel its trial, which, in the absence of direct provisions to the contrary in the equity rules or commanding general equity principles sanctioning such procedure, amounts to a denial of due process.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Lack of Standing)

In addition to being a sham pleading, the Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because Mr. Lau's purported injury now lies entirely in the past. Under these circumstances, Mr. Lau lacks standing to seek a declaratory judgment. Mr. Lau's only purported basis for injury is the AICPA filing this lawsuit against him, which occurred prior to the filing of the Counterclaims. Thus, Mr. Lau's Counterclaims do not deter any future conduct. Consequently, Mr. Lau lacks standing under the Declaratory Judgment Act.

-26-

Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Fair Use)

The Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment for Non-Infringement of Copyright is barred, in whole or in part, because Mr. Lau is not entitled to a finding that his conduct is a protected fair use under 17 U.S.C. § 107. The infringed work is a secure test item, an important type of copyrighted work that Congress and federal courts have recognized is both especially vulnerable to unauthorized disclosure and entitled to very strong protection, which should not be limited by fair use. Here, the unpublished, secret nature of the infringed secure test item, granted such protection in order to promote the progress of knowledge through education and testing, weighs heavily against a finding of fair use in favor of Mr. Lau who sought to destroy the value of the copyrighted work.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Copyright Misuse)

The Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment for Non-Infringement of Copyright is barred, in whole or in part, because Defendant is not entitled to a finding that the AICPA has misused its copyrights. Copyright misuse prevents copyright holders from leveraging their limited monopoly to allow them control of areas outside the monopoly. The Counterclaims do not contend that the AICPA has somehow tried to leverage its copyrights in Exam Content in areas outside that limited monopoly. Instead, the Counterclaims simply contend that the AICPA lacks a valid copyright in the Exam Content. This is not copyright misuse.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No De Minimis Copying)

The Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment for Non-Infringement of Copyright is barred, in whole or in part, because Mr. Lau is not entitled to a finding that Mr. Lau's copyright infringement was de minimis. The AICPA claims copyright infringement based on Defendant posting an near-exact reproduction of an ISC Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK

Case

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

multiple choice question along with the related answer choices. Mr. Lau claims any copying was *de minimis* because it was only one question of a group of secure test items. This is incorrect. The Registered Work is a secure test item part of a group registration. Secure test items, which are "comprised of a question (or 'stem'), the correct answer to that question, any incorrect answer choices (or 'distractors'), and any associated material, such as a narrative passage or diagram," are considered independent works subject to copyright protection. 37 C.F.R. § 202.13. Mr. Lau's copying is not *de minimis* copying of a work; it is a virtually exact reproduction of an *entire* work.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because Mr. Lau, through his conduct, recognized, acknowledged, and agreed that the AICPA's asserted copyright rights and trade secret rights in the Exam Content are valid. In doing so, Mr. Lau induced the AICPA to provide him with access to the AICPA's protected Exam Content. Mr. Lau acknowledged that "Exam Content is the exclusive, confidential, and proprietary property of the AICPA, and is protected from unauthorized disclosure and use by the terms of [the NDAs] and by federal, state, and international intellectual property laws, including but not limited to copyright and trade secret laws." Having done so and entering into the NDAs to obtain access to the AICPA's Exam Content, Mr. Lau is estopped from claiming that the AICPA's copyrights and trade secret rights in the Exam Content are not valid in response to the AICPA's copyright infringement and trade secret misappropriate claims, and that no contract existed.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Damages)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because they purport to assert that the AICPA breached some contract with Mr. Lau but do not plead the -28- Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK

Case

AICPA has caused Defendant any damage. Damages are a required element of a claim under California law asserting a contractual breach.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because the AICPA did not engage in bad faith, willful, wanton, or reckless conduct toward Mr. Lau. All the AICPA's actions were taken without malice, ill will, or any conscious disregard for the interests of Mr. Lau. The AICPA is responsible for maintaining the integrity and security of the CPA Exam and protect its intellectual property rights in Exam Content. Mr. Lau is not entitled to any declaratory judgment that the AICPA raised frivolous claims against him.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because Mr. Lau's conduct renders a judgment in his favor inequitable. Despite agreeing in the NDAs that he would not reproduce Exam Content, that he would not publish, distribute, or transmit it including on the Internet, and that he would not communicate about Exam Content, Mr. Lau did so. Indeed, Mr. posted a virtually exact reproduction of both the content of an ISC multiple choice question along with the related answer choices. It would be inequitable to enter judgment in Mr. Lau's favor in light of this wrongful conduct.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Bad Faith)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because each counterclaim for relief has always been and continues to be frivolous, unreasonable, and groundless.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Equities in Favor of Defendants)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part because the equities weigh in favor of the AICPA and against Mr. Lau. The AICPA seeks to maintain the Uniform CPA Exam's equal playing field and Mr. Lau seeks to use his claims to avoid responsibility for violating his obligations to the AICPA and, in doing so, compromising the security and integrity of the Uniform CPA Exam.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate Damages and Attorneys' Fees)

Mr. Lau is not entitled to any damages or attorneys' fees. The Counterclaims fail to plead any damages caused by the AICPA. Mr. Lau failed to mitigate any attorneys' fees incurred, especially due to his excessive and improper pleading in response to the Complaint.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Speculative Damages)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because any damage to Mr. Lau referenced in the Counterclaims is speculative and is not part of his legal claims.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Superseding and Intervening Acts)

The Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, because any damage caused to Mr. Lau was caused by his own conduct in violating the AICPA's copyright, trade secret, and contract rights in the Exam Content and for breaching his NDA with the AICPA.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Uncertainty)

The Counterclaims fail plead the purported facts, if any with sufficient specificity for the AICPA to validly respond. The Counterclaims instead largely

-30
Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK

SMRH:4935-6231-1437

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

consist of Mr. Lau's speculations and legal positions. These allegations are so 1 vague, ambiguous, and uncertain that it is impossible to ascertain all the material 2 aspects of the purported Counterclaims for relief against the AICPA. 3 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 5 (Failure to State a Claim) Mr. Lau's purported Counterclaims fail to state a counterclaim upon which 6 7 relief can be granted. 8 **RESERVATION OF AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** 9 The AICPA reserves all affirmative defenses under rule 8(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any other defenses, at law or in equity, that may be 10 available now or that may become available in the future, based on discovery or 11 other factual investigation of this case. 12 13 Dated: January 6, 2025 14 15 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP 16 17 18 By /s/ Tenaya Rodewald TENAYA RODEWALD 19 KAZIM A. NAQVI 20 Attorneys for Plaintiff AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED 21 PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No. 5:24-cv-01940-SSS-SHK -31-

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

SMRH:4935-6231-1437